

Title/Name

Hege Blix

## PHARMACEUTICAL CARE NETWORK EUROPE

## **Working Conference 2013 – Abstract**

Collaborative pharmaceutical care in research and practice

Phone

| Institute   | <ul> <li>Department of<br/>Pharmacoepidemiology,<br/>Norwegian Institute of<br/>Public Health, School of<br/>Pharmacy, University of<br/>Oslo</li> </ul> | Fax :  |
|---|--|--|
| Street  | :  | Skype :  |
| Postal code<br>+ City   | : Oslo   |  |
| Country   | : Norway   | Email : Hege.Salvesen.Blix@fhi.no address  |
| oral communica  | tion. If accepted and presented, the   | 2013 wishes to submit following abstract for a poster or abstract will be published in the International Journal or no longer than 350 words, excl. author-details.  |
| Title Medication reviews at the general practitioners' office – a multidisciplinary approach in ambulatory care?  |  |  |
| Author(s) 1Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, 2School of Pharmacy, University of Oslo, 3Lovisenberg Hospital Pharmacy, 4Diakonhjemmet Hospital Pharmacy, 5Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo and Dep. Pharmacology, Oslo University Hospital, Norway  |  |  |
| Type of abstra<br>X Research  | ct  Practice developmen  | t Practice implementation  |
| Aim of project/study Admission to hospitals often implies alteration of medical treatment. The follow-up of medication changes after discharge from hospital is a challenge for the GPs, and imperfect communication may impair optimal patient treatment. We aimed to investigate whether outreach visits to GPs by hospital pharmacists can improve patient drug use in primary care. |  |  |
| study; the pation made appoint told that the air medication rev   | ents were recruited from 6 hospit<br>nents with the patients' GPs four<br>m was to discuss the patients' dr<br>riew. Before the study a pilot was        | ns during hospitalization were eligible for the als in southern Norway. Clinical pharmacists to five months after discharge. The GPs were ugs regimens through a collaborative carried out, standardized data collection forms ists were trained in methods for medication |
| for 105 patient   | s. The reasons for not participation   | dy, and medication reviews could be performed<br>ng in the study were that the GPs did not want<br>ne patients had died. Eight pharmacists and 88  |

GPs took part in the study. The mean age of the patients was 76.1 years, 48 men and 57 women. For 11 patients the GPs had not received the discharge notes. Patient identified with DRP had on average 2.8 DRPs (range 1-15), 31 patients had no DRPs. The most frequent DRPs were need for medication monitoring (60 DRPs), need for additional drug (34), unclear documentation in the GPs records (19) and inappropriate drug choice (19).

The GPs agreed to undertake immediate changes related to 63 (31%) of the DRPs discussed. For 17 DRPs (8%) no changes were performed. For 114 (56%) DRPs a decision was postponed and could not be taken before the GP had seen the patient or medication monitoring had been performed. Five drugs accounted for 25% of the 202 DRPs, these being digitoxin, warfarin, metoprolol, potassium and furosemid.

Conclusion: Medication reviews performed in a multidisciplinary setting in primary care might improve drug use among patients discharged from hospital.

+++ NB: PhD students still pay the early bird fee for their abstract if their abstract is accepted ++++