### PHARMACEUTICAL CARE NETWORK EUROPE

## Working Conference 2011 – Abstract

Does pharmaceutical care impact on the safety of individual patients?

Title/Name Marleen Haems Phone: + 32 09 235 76 28

Institute **KOVAG** Fax: +32 09 225 99 75

Street Nieuwebosstraat 5 Skype: haems marleen

9000 Gent Postal code :

+ City

Email address: mhaems@kovag.be Country Belgium

The above mentioned participant in the PCNE WC 2009 wishes to submit following abstract for a poster or short oral communication (please type & then fax the form to the secretariat). Max. 350 words.

# Title

Evaluation of pharmaceutical care activities by mystery patients during a campaign on non-prescription drugs: is there an evolution?

Marleen Haems<sup>1</sup> – Veerle Foulon<sup>2</sup> – Gert Laekeman<sup>3</sup>

1:KOVAG - mhaems@kovag.be

- 2: KULeuven-Ond.centr. Farm.Zorg & Farmaco-economie M:veerle.foulon@pharm.kuleuven.be 3: KULeuven-Ond.centr. Farm.Zorg & Farmaco-economie M:gert.laekeman@pharm.kuleuven.be

Type of abstract

Practice development Research x Practice implementation

### Aim of project/study

The aims of our project were to study the perception of pharmaceutical care activities by mystery patients and to confront the participating pharmacists with these data. Secondly, we wanted to select points of attention for next steps in further development of the role of the pharmacist in counseling and delivery of non-prescription drugs.

### Method

Pharmacies got twice the occasion to subscribe to be visited by a mystery-patient. Pharmacies who subscribed in 2008 (n = 408) got two visits; pharmacists who subscribed in 2010 (n= 102) got one visit. A scenario was developed for each round; mystery patients were trained on this scenario before their first visit.

A structured questionnaire was used to evaluate the performance of the pharmacists. Basic questions were the same in each round, but the questionnaire was expanded for the second and again for the third round with more items on aspects of pharmaceutical care, esp. in the field of needs assessment and advice. After each round, a personalized feedback report was send to each of the participating pharmacies. Points of attention emerging from the data were shared with all pharmacists participating in the campaign on non-prescription drugs (2008-2010).

### Result(s)

Although pharmacists and their assistants obtained high scores on basic attitude and skills in the three rounds of visits, there is still room for amelioration in needs assessments on topics such as "asking the patient about his complaint", "asking if previous actions were already taken to stop the complaint" and "asking if the patient is actually taking other medication". In the field of giving advice 10 of 15 questions, common in the different rounds, evolved positively. Especially for 'explanation of the drug intake', 'taking note of intake-information on

the package', 'giving extra tips to the patient' and 'explaining how the medication works' higher scores were obtained in each next round. However, there is still work to do.

Points of attention for next campaigns on non-prescription drugs are 'a better implementation of the WWHAM-questions' and 'correct referrals to the doctor'.

+++ NB: PhD students get 50 Pound reduction on the conference fee if their abstract is accepted ++++