Centre de santé et de services sociaux de Laval

Université de Montréal



ProFil

Programme de formation et de liaison en néphrologie

Nephrologists

Louise Corneille Louis Prudhomme Nathalie Langlois Martine Leblanc Michel Vallée

Pharmacists, nephrology

Valérie Clément Robert Bell Marie-Ève Legris Sara Letendre Anne Lord Marie Mouchbahani

Community pharmacist Diane Lamarre

Researchers

Lisa Dolovich Janusz Kaczorowski

Principal Investigator Lyne Lalonde ProFiL, a training-and-communication network program in nephrology for community pharmacists:

impact on knowledge, clinical competences, quality of medication use and clinical variables

Instituts de recherche en santé du Canada Amgen Inc. Léo-Pharma Cercle du doyen

CKD a serious condition

- Prevalence of 12.5% in Canada (3.1% at stage 3-5)
- Prevalence of about 25% in patients with diabetes or hypertension
- Incidence of kidney failure doubled between 2000 and 2010
- CKD patients
 - Elderly (72 years old)
 - Numerous health problems (5-6)
 - Multiple medications (12)
 - OTC use (80%)
 - Many physicians (3.6 prescribers in 6 months)

High risk of drugrelated problems (DRPs)

Management of CKD

Objectives:

- Prevent or control CKD complications
- Slow the progression of kidney function decline

Management

- Control blood pressure, diabetes, and other CVD risk factors
- Avoid nephrotoxic medications
- Adjust medications based on kidney function
- Monitor adherence to medications
- Predialysis clinic
 - Multidisciplinary team (physicians, nurses, dieticians...)
 - Pharmacists with expertise in nephrology
 - Involvement of pharmacists is limited

Community pharmacists

Most accessible primary health care providers

- ♦ \geq 1 visit per month
- Complete list of medications including OTC and natural products
- They have the responsibility to detect DRPs and ensure appropriate medication use

BARRIERS

- Limited training in nephrology
- No access to important clinical information (eGFR)
- Undefined role within a multidisciplinary predialysis team

ProFil Program



Training-and-communication program designed to improve the management of CKD by community pharmacists for patients followed in predialysis clinic

Conduct a cluster randomized trial to evaluate the ProFiL Program

Objectives

Primary objective:

Compare the mean change in the quality of medication use in ProFiL and UC patients

Secondary objectives:

- Knowledge/clinical competences of community pharmacists
- Progression of the clinical variables (eGFR, blood pressure, HbA1C, LDL-c)

Study design



Open-labelled, controlled, clusterrandomized clinical trial with 1 year follow-up

6 predialysis clinics

- Hôpital de la Cité-de-la-Santé
- Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont
- Centre Hospitalier universitaire de Sherbrooke
- Hôpital Charles LeMoyne
- Hôpital Royal Victoria
- Jewish General Hospital

Study population - patients



Patients

- Adult
- CKD
 - moderate (30-59 mL/min/1.73m²)
 - severe (15-29 mL/min/1.73m²)
- Have an eligible current pharmacy that agrees to participate

Study population - Pharmacies



Pharmacies

Adequate coverage by participating pharmacists:

>250 prescriptions/day: at least 60h/wk

OR

≤ 250 prescriptions/day: at least 35h/wk

OR

Pharmacies opened <7 days/week: at least 50% of opening hours

ProFil Program



- Web-based interactive training
- Information exchange program Clinical summary List of medications
- Privilege access to pharmacists with expertise in nephrology

ProFiL program – Web-based training program

 Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions 2011; 31(3): 140-150

OBJECTIVES

- Familirarize pharmacists with a set of DRPs related to CKD (PAIR criteria)
- Proposed a systematic approach to detect and manage PAIR-DRPs

FORMAT

- 90 minutes
- 2 clinical vignettes (moderate/severe CKD)





Présentation du défi

Programme de formation et de llaison en néphrologie

ProFil

0 0

Univ de



Park 6

Centre d de Laval

Diagramme des 8 étapes



 \Im

Programme de formation et de liaison en néphrologie

Univer de N

Noter que pour gérer les problèmes détectés, vous devez préalablement avoir navigué au travers de ces 8 étapes. De plus, avant de quitter la formation, veuillez vous assurez d'avoir complété l'étape dans laquelle vous êtes afin de ne pas perdre vos résultats.

IIII (HE MAAAAAAA

Cliquez ici pour commencer

érer les és, vous ient	1	Vérifier les doses des médicaments au dossier.	Débuter
travers De plus,	2	Vérifier la concordance entre les listes de médicaments.	Débuter
z vous omplété elle vous as perdre	3	Évaluer l'adhésion au traitement.	Débuter
	4	Analyser les résultats de tension artérielle.	Débuter
	5	Analyser les résultats de glycémies.	Débuter
	6	Vérifier l'horaire d'administration réel des médicaments.	Débuter
	7	Déterminer le statut tabagique.	Débuter
	8	Déterminer si le patient prend des médicaments en vente libre et/ou des produits de santé naturels inadéquats.	Débuter
assai			
		Table des matières	
		🔮 Inte	ernet

Cliquez sur « Débuter » lorsque vous êtes prêt à débuter celle-ci.

Centre d de Laval

Gestion des problèmes détectés

Remplir le formulaire d'opinion pharmaceutique

ProFil

00

Programme de formation et de liaison en néphrologie

Univer de N



🙆 Terminé

MEDECIN	PATIENT	Des E's
Dr / Dre	M. / M ^{me}	PIOFIL
	RAMQ :	Programme de formation
ÉVALUATION DE LA FONCTION R Clcr (mL/min) ou débit de filtration g	ÉNALE lomérulaire (mL/min/1,73m²) :En dat	te du :/mm /aaaa
PROBLÈME(S) OBSERVÉ(S)		
Ajustement posologique requis	selon la fonction rénale pour :	
Médicament non recommandé	elon la fonction rénale :	
Discordance significative entre	e dossier-pharmacie et votre profil pharmacologique po	ur :
Non adhésion (🔲>120% ou 🗌	80% en 90 jours) à un médicament :	
Tension artérielle supérieure au	x cibles visées :	
Épisodes d'hypoglycémie :		
Interaction médicamenteuse en	tre :et_	
Prise inadéquate d'un médicam	ent :	
Référence requise pour un trait	ment ou un suivi anti-tabagique :	
Traitement inadéquat avec un r	nédicament en vente libre :	
Traitement inadéguat avec un p	roduit de santé naturel :	
Autre problème :		
00		
		and the second second
	Table des matières	
and the second		

Quality of medication use -PAIR criteria

- 51 clinically significant DRPs for CKD patients requiring the intervention of community pharmacists when detected
- RAND appropriateness process (3 rounds)
 - ✤ 4 nephrologists
 - 2 family physicians
 - 4 nephrology pharmacists
 - 2 community pharmacists
- Validation using pilot data:
 - Inter-rater reliability: Kappa: 0.82-0.96; ICC: 0.93
 - Test-retest reliability: Kappa: 0.74-1.00; ICC 0.91
 - Conceptual validity
 - Expert judgment : 3.5 DRPs/patient
 - PAIR criteria: 2.5 DRPs/patient





Evaluation

One year prior and after the recruitment

- Quality of medication use (PAIR criteria)
 - Documentation:
 - Pharmacy chart
 - ProFiL clinical summary
 - Patient interview (OTC and NHP)
 - Two evaluators + consensus if needed
- Clinical variables
 - Results available in predialysis clinic chart
- Knowledge/clinical competences
 - 10 questions (knowledge) and 2 clinical vignettes

Analyses

- Intent-to-treat approach
- Missing data at T12 were replaced by baseline scores/group mean
- Multivariate linear mixed effects model to take into account the clustering of data within pharmacy and patient-level intra-correlation induced by repeated measures
- To adjust for confounders, all variables statistically significant (p < 0.2) in a bivariate model including the study group were included in the final multivariate model if they remained statistically significant (p < 0.1).</p>



a Patient not meeting inclusion criteria: eGFR < 15mL/min/1,73m2 (n=325); eGFR ≥ 90mL/min/1,73m2 (n=97); doesn't have Quebec health insurance plan (n=91); unable to manage their medication (n=55); unable to speak English or French (n=49); withdrawal before entering the study (n=26); client of more than one pharmacy (n=19); unable to understand the study (n=18); and hospitalized (n=4). b Changed pharmacy (n=34).

c Changed pharmacy (n=10); withdrawal of their pharmacy (n=9); and dialysis (n=7).

d Lack of time (n=17); withdrawal of pharmacy (n=3); and unsatisfied (n=2)

Participants characteristics

Patients	ProFiL n=304	Usual Care n=138
Age (years): mean (SD)	72 (12)	71 (13)
Men: n (%)	179 (59)	83 (60)
Severity of renal disease (Grade 4): n (%)	202 (67)	85 (62)
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m ²): mean (SD)	27 (9)	28 (11)
Comorbidities: n (%) Hypertension Dyslipidemia Type I diabetes Type II diabetes Anemia Phosphocalcic metabolism disorder	283 (95) 223 (75) 11 (4) 160 (54) 160 (55) 138 (48)	130 (95) 100 (74) 4 (3) 65 (48) 77 (58) 60 (46)

Participants characteristics

Pharmacies	ProFiL n = 139	Usual care n = 68
Number of prescriptions per day: mean (SD)	440 (236)	458 (246)
Pharmacy size (>5000 ft²) : n (%)	75 (61)	37 (62)
Pharmacists	n = 345	n = 149
Women: n (%)	236 (68)	100 (67)

Knowledge and clinical competences



ProFiL T0 Rev ProFIL T12 ProFIL (T12-T0) UC T0 Rev UC T12 UC (T12-T0)

* Adjusted for having a training on pharmaceutical opinion in the last year and for being an associate clinician

** No significant confounding variables

Quality of pharmacotherapy

Δ DRPs/patient



ProFiL T0 Rev ProFIL T12 ProFIL (T12-T0) UC T0 Rev UC T12 UC (T12-T0)

Number of DRPs per patient

PAIR DRPs	Mean change (95% CI)		
	ProFiL	Usual Care	
Inappropriate prescription: Incorrect dosage Contraindicated agent	-0.10 (-0.17 to -0.03) -0.15 (-0.21 to -0.08)	0.00 (-0.08 to 0.06) -0.11 (-0.21 to 0.00)	
Nonoptimal treatment adherence	-0.10 (-0.22 to 0.03)	0.12 (-0.06 to 0.29)	
Nonoptimal blood pressure	-0.09 (-0.14 to -0.04)	-0.04 (-0.11 to 0.02)	
Hypoglycemia secondary to sulfonylurea	0.04 (0.00 to 0.07)	0.04 (0.00 to 0.08)	
Drug interaction	0.00 (-0.02 to 0.04)	0.01 (-0.01 to 0.04)	
Drug used inappropriately	-0.08 (-0.13 to -0.03)	-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.03)	
Smoking	0.00 (-0.02 to 0.04)	0.02 (0.00 to 0.05)	
Inappropriate use of Over-the-counter medication Naturel health product	-0.11 (-0.17 to -0.04) 0.00 (0.00 to 0.00)	-0.11 (-0.20 to -0.02) 0.00 (0.00 to 0.00)	

Progression of clinical variables

	ProFiL		Usual Care (UC)	
	Study entry (T0) Mean (SD)	Changement during study (T12-T0) Mean (95% CI)	Study entry (T0) Mean (SD)	Changement during study (T12-T0) Mean (95% CI)
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m2)	26.8 (9.3)	0.2 (-2.3 to 2.7)	28.2 (10.6)	-1.3 (-2.4 to -0.2)
Blood pressure (mmHg) Systolic Diastolic	135.8 (19.4) 69.4 (11.6)	-1.4 (-3.5 to 0.8) -0.4 (-1.7 to 0.8)	136.4 (20.2) 70.7 (11.5)	-0.3 (-3.9 to 3.3) -1.0 (-3.0 to 0.9)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) Patients with dyslipidemia	2.0 (0.7) 1.9 (0.7)	-0.1 (-0.2 to 0.0) -0.1 (-0.2 to 0.0)	2.0 (0.7) 2.0 (0.7)	0.0 (-0.1 to 0.1) -0.1 (-0.2 to 0.0)
Glycosylated hemoglobin (%) Patients with diabetes	6.9 (1.3) 7.4 (1.4)	0.0 (-0.1 to 0.1) -0.1 (-0.3 to 0.1)	6.7 (1.1) 7.1 (1.4)	0.1 (-0.1 to 0.2) 0.2 (-0.1 to 0.5)

Summary of results

Quality of medication use improved significantly in the ProFiL group

- Incremental reduction of 0.5 DRP/patient
- Significant reduction of DRPs related to:
 - Inappropriate dosage adjustment
 - Drug not recommended in CKD
 - Uncontrolled blood pressure control
 - Inappropriate use
 - OTC not recommended
- After one year, persistent improvement in knowledge (5%) and clinical competencies (7%) of community pharmacists
- No significant differences on the change in eGFR, blood pressure, HbA1C, and LDLcholesterol.

Quality of medication use

- Quality of medication use is suboptimal (2 DRPs/pt)
 - Regular follow-up in predialysis clinic
 - Multidisciplinary team
- Training/support + essential clinical information = improvement

Strengths and limits

Strengths

- Cluster-randomization high level of internal validity
- Relatively high participation rate
 - Pharmacies' acceptance: 50%
 - Patients' refusal: 11% (of patients invited)
- DRPs were blindly evaluated using validated criteria

Limits

- Recrutement of patients after randomisation (selection biais)
- Low questionnaire response rate at T12 (65%)
- Missing values for laboratory test results
- Only few patients per pharmacy

Next step....

- Adapt and implement ProFiL to improve the management of CKD patients followed in primary care
- Take into account recent legislation changes
 - Dossier Santé Québec
 - Bill 41: adapt prescription, prescribe laboratory tests
- External facilitator/expert pharmacist to accelerate clinical practice changes

Thanks!

Nephrologists

Louise Corneille Louis Prudhomme Nathalie Langlois Martine Leblanc Michel Vallée

Pharmacists. nephrology

Valérie Clément Robert Bell Marie-Ève Legris Sara Letendre Anne Lord Marie Mouchbahani

Community pharmacist Diane Lamarre

Researchers

Lisa Dolovich Ianusz Kaczorowski

Principal Investigator Lyne Lalonde

Pharmacy residents

- ** PAIR criteria/Web-training:
 - Noémie Charbonneau Séguin
 - Katherine Desforges
 - Jean-François Desrochers
- ProFiL 2010-2011: *
 - Stéphanie Beaulieu
 - Émilie Bertin
 - Marianne Bouvrette
- ProFiL 2011-2012: *

Research trainees:

Alex Castonguay

Joanie De Cesare

Christelle Accrombessy

Alexandra Bealieu boivin

Mona Abaoui

- Sébastien Beaunoyer
- Ariane Dumoulin-Charrette

- Marie-Ève Legris
- Jean-Philippe Lemieux
- Claudia Morin-Bélanger
- Anne-Marie Daigneault
- Maryame El Bouchikhi
- Roxanne Forget
- Sébastien Dupuis

François Ste-Marie Paradis

Patricia Sauvé

- Isabelle Noël
- Stéphanie Ricard
- Salma Yasmine Drias
- Joanie Hébert
- Malak Ismail
- Vivianne Marguis
- Yasmine Gourramen

Ph.D Candidate: Patricia Quintana Barcena Nephrology pharmacists: Anne Lord and Robert Bell Fundinas:

> Canadian Institues of Health Research Amgen Inc. Léo-Pharma Inc. Cercle du doven de l'Université de Montréal

Marianne Guay Research team: Joëlle Azar, Ghaya Jouini, Elisabeth Martin, Djamal Berbiche

- Gloria Gabrielle Ortega-Delgado
 - Stéphanie Randall
 - Élise Vachon-Lachivar
 - Evelyne Vuong
 - Renée-Claude Lachapelle

Centre de sa de Laval





Thank You!