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Quality indicators

- Items that are defined and measurable
- aimed at assessing, comparing, and improving
- the quality of different structures, processes, and outcomes

The Donabedian Model as a framework

• A conceptual model that provides a framework for examining health services and evaluating quality of care

- Structure: the context in which care is delivered

- Process: the transactions between patients and providers

- Outcomes: the effects of healthcare on the health status of patients and populations

Quality indicators, an example

- **Structure indicator:**
  *Does the pharmacy have written guidelines on patient counseling?* => yes/no

- **Process indicator:**
  *Proportion of the pharmacy’s patient encounters, where the pharmacy practitioners comply with the written guidelines* => X%

- **Outcome indicator:**
  *Proportion of patients satisfied with the counseling given, according to the written guidelines* => Y%
Literature review of publications on community pharmacy quality indicators

• ~ 20 publications => ~ 450 indicators

• Indicators primarily developed by pharmacy organizations and university researchers

• The Netherlands, UK, Finland, Portugal, Bulgaria, USA, Canada, Brazil, Argentina and Taiwan

• Most indicator use limited in geography, scope or time
Literature review of publications on community pharmacy quality indicators

- Majority of indicators vaguely phrased => difficult to measure

- Majority of indicators context-dependent => usable in the country of origin but of limited use abroad

- Some indicator sets validated by Delphi-technique or other consensus methodology
Publications on community pharmacy quality indicators


  Methods for measuring health care quality and how these methods can be applied to the measurement of pharmacy quality described. A Pharmacy Quality Alliance (PQA) created to coordinate efforts of numerous pharmacy stakeholders in developing measures of pharmacy quality.


  A report of PQA demonstration projects in community pharmacies in five states in the USA. Considerable variation in pharmacy performance scores. Use of website to report scores feasible.
• **Nigam R et al.** Development of Canadian safety indicators for medication use. *Health Q.* 2008;11(3 Spec No.):47-53

A set of 20 consensus-based indicators for the safe use of medication for both in-patient and out-patient settings developed by a panel of national experts through a Delphi survey process via e-mail.

18 process indicators and 2 outcome indicators, such as

- Number of prescriptions/medication orders with “take as directed” as the only instruction for use as a percentage of all prescriptions/medication orders (*process indicator*)

- Number of ADE-related hospitalizations as a percentage of all hospitalizations (*outcome indicator*)

A set of 42 indicators were
- developed by the Dutch Healthcare Inspectorate and the Royal Dutch Pharmacists Association (KNMP)
- validated by pharmacy practice experts and practicing pharmacists
- field tested by a random sample of 30 community pharmacists
- composed of 13 structure indicators, 18 process indicators and 11 outcome indicators

The set contained indicators on patient counseling (6), clinical risk management (10), compounding (7), dispensing (3), monitoring of medication use (11) and quality management (5).
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  Aimed to assess the validity of the current set of 52 community pharmacy quality indicators, using the Indicator Assessment Framework (IAF).

  IAF criteria: content validity, absence of selection and measurement bias, statistical reliability.

  An expert panel applied the IAF criteria to the set of indicators collected in 1,807 Dutch community pharmacies on their performance.

  25% of indicators judged as meeting the requirements for all criteria.
Examples:

- Number of pharmaceutical care interventions delivered per standardised denominator, such as 1000 prescriptions dispensed or 1000 patients.

- Number of patients counselled about their medicines per standardised denominator, such as 1000 prescriptions dispensed or 1000 patients.

- Number of adverse drug event reports (to include both adverse drug reactions and medication errors) per year.
The Swedish Government Commission

- Medical Products Agency (MPA) in collaboration with
  - the National Board of Health and Welfare
  - the Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions
  - the Swedish Pharmacy Association
  plus consultation with other appropriate stakeholders

- to develop national indicators for
  - patient safety
  - accessibility
  - quality in community pharmacy practice
The Swedish Government Commission

- public tool for comparing different pharmacies
- inspired by the Swedish version of the FIP/WHO Good Pharmacy Practice (GPP)
- a platform for implementation, including
  - collection
  - publication
  - follow-up of quality indicator data
Indicators abroad according to publications and study visits and suggestions from collaboration and consultation groups.

450 indicators
Indicators abroad according to publications and study visits, and suggestions from collaboration and consultation groups

- 450 indicators

Elimination of foreign, context-dependent indicators, duplicates and indicators difficult to measure

- 200 indicators
Indicators abroad according to publications and DK and NL study visits, correspondence with Estonia and suggestions from collaboration and consultation groups

Elimination of foreign, context-dependent indicators, duplicates and indicators difficult to measure

Categorization, translations, prioritization and adjustments to Swedish conditions

450 indicators

200 indicators

80 indicators
Indicators abroad according to publications and study visits and suggestions from collaboration and consultation groups

- Elimination of foreign, context-dependent indicators, duplicates and indicators difficult to measure
  - 450 indicators

- Categorization, translations prioritization and adjustments to Swedish conditions
  - 200 indicators

- Elimination of indicators not fulfilling the validation requirements of the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare
  - 80 indicators

- Elimination of indicators
  - 40 indicators
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The indicator should…

• …set direction, that is express whether a high or a low value reflects good or bad quality

• …be relevant and illustrate an area important to the operation and mirror a dimension of outcome quality

• …be valid, that is measure what it is supposed to measure in a reliable way
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The indicator should…

• …be established and be based on knowledge, such as guidelines, science, a legal foundation, proven experience, consensus or knowledge obtained from whom the indicator may concern, such as the patient

• …be responsive, that is its outcome should be possible to be affected by the actual performers

• …be measurable och be possible to measure by nationally available and continously collected data
Indicators abroad according to publications and study visits and suggestions from collaboration and consultation groups

Elimination of foreign, context-dependent indicators, duplicates and indicators difficult to measure

Categorization, translations prioritization and adjustments to Swedish conditions

Elimination of indicators not fulfilling the validation requirements of the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare
Indicators abroad according to publications and study visits and suggestions from collaboration and consultation groups

Elimination of foreign, context-dependent indicators, duplicates and indicators difficult to measure

Categorization, translations prioritization and adjustments to Swedish conditions

Elimination of indicators not fulfilling the requirements of the National Board of Health and Welfare

Evaluation by the NBHW, SALAR and SPA

MPA evaluation and prioritization

450 indicators

200 indicators

80 indicators

40 indicators

21 indicators
Final evaluation by collaboration and consultation groups and final selection by the MPA
Final evaluation by collaboration and consultation groups and final selection by the MPA

21 indicators

5 (+2) indicators, reported to the Ministry of Health and Social Affairs
Final set of quality indicators

• Does the pharmacy have a system, accessible through the pharmacy’s website or by phone, through which the patient can pre-order prescribed medicines and get feedback from the pharmacy, enabling the patient to receive the medicines upon arrival to the pharmacy?

• Does the pharmacy have written instructions on counseling on the use of over-the-counter medicines (OTCs) in humans and animals?

• Does the pharmacy enable individual counseling in a private, wheelchair-accessible place on prescribed medicines and OTCs, where the counseling neither is visible nor audible to other patients or pharmacy staff?
Final set of quality indicators, cont.

- Does the pharmacy offer pre-booked counseling on medicines and their use?
- Does the pharmacy have written work procedures for the pharmacy staff’s deviation management and learning from negative events?
Quality indicator data collection

- Web questionnaire the Medical Products Agency => all pharmacies in Sweden

- December 2014 – January 2015

- Response rate 81%

- Large variation in both response rates and fulfilled quality indicators among different pharmacy chains
Conclusions 1(2)

• Collaboration and consultation with appropriate stakeholders throughout the process of big importance

• A small set of basic quality indicators a good starting point

• Operationalization of indicators into questions feasible

• Indicator data collection through a web questionnaire convenient
Conclusions 2(2)

• Voluntary pharmacy publication of indicator data proposed

• Publication on independent organization’s web site with appropriate search functions advisable

• A continuous future development from structure into process and outcome indicators crucial
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